110TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 1408

To direct the Secretary of the Interior to study the suitability and feasibility of establishing the Chattahoochee Trace National Heritage Corridor in Alabama and Georgia, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 8, 2007

Mr. Everett (for himself, Mr. Rogers of Alabama, and Mr. Marshall) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources

A BILL

- To direct the Secretary of the Interior to study the suitability and feasibility of establishing the Chattahoochee Trace National Heritage Corridor in Alabama and Georgia, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Chattahoochee Trace
 - 5 National Heritage Corridor Study Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
 - 7 In this Act:

(1) CORRIDOR.—The term "Corridor" means 1 2 the Chattahoochee National Heritage Corridor. (2) Secretary.—The term "Secretary" means 3 4 the Secretary of the Interior. (3) STUDY AREA.—The term "study area" 5 6 means the study area described in section 3(b). 7 SEC. 3. STUDY. 8 (a) In General.—The Secretary, in consultation with State historic preservation officers, State historical 10 societies, State tourism offices, and other appropriate organizations or agencies, shall conduct a study to assess 11 12 the suitability and feasibility of designating the study area 13 as the Chattahoochee Trace National Heritage Corridor. 14 (b) Description of Study Area.—The study area 15 shall include the portion of the Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basin and surrounding areas that are 16 17 comprised of the following: 18 (1)(A) The cities, towns, unincorporated com-19 munities, and military bases of LaFayette, Lanett, 20 Valley, Waverly, Oak Bowery, Stroud, Opelika, Au-21 burn, Lochapoka, Salem, Smiths, Phenix City, Fort 22 Mitchell, Spring Hill, Rutherford, Hurtsboro, 23 Pittsview, Uchee, Glenville, Seale, Cottonton, Comer, 24 Batesville, Eufaula, Clayton, Louisville, Clio,

Bakerhill, Blue Springs, Ariton, Skipperville, Ozark,

25

- 1 Midland City, Fort Rucker, Newton, Daleville, Abbe-
- 2 ville, Lawrenceville, Haleburg, Shorterville, Newville,
- 3 Tumbleton, Headland, Columbia, Kinsey, Cotton-
- 4 wood, Ashford, Madrid, Gordon, and Dothan, Ala-
- 5 bama.
- 6 (B) Chambers, Lee, Russell, Barbour, Dale,
- 7 Henry, and Houston counties in the State of Ala-
- 8 bama.
- 9 (2)(A) The cities, towns, unincorporated com-
- munities, and military bases of Hogansville, La-
- 11 Grange, Whitesville, Mountville, West Point, Pine
- Mountain, Hamilton, Waverly Hall, Ellerslie, Mul-
- berry Grove, Columbus, Cusseta, Fort Benning,
- Omaha, Florence, Richland, Louvale, Brooklyn,
- 15 Lumpkin, Georgetown, Morris, Springvale, Cuthbert,
- 16 Shellman, Coleman, Fort Gaines, Bluffton, Cedar
- 17 Springs, Saffold, Colomokee, Damascus, Blakely,
- 18 Hilton, Donalsonville, Iron City, Reynoldsville,
- 19 Brinson, Bainbridge, Faceville, Fowlstown, Climax,
- and Attapulgus, Georgia.
- 21 (B) Troup, Harris, Muscogee, Chattahoochee,
- Stewart, Randolph, Clay, Quitman, Early, Seminole,
- and Decatur counties in the State of Georgia.
- 24 (3) Other areas in the State of Alabama or
- 25 Georgia that—

1	(A) have heritage aspects that are similar
2	to the aspects of the areas described in para-
3	graph (1) or (2) ; and
4	(B) are adjacent to, or in the vicinity of,
5	the areas.
6	(c) REQUIREMENTS.—The study shall include anal-
7	ysis, documentation, and determinations on whether the
8	study area—
9	(1) has an assemblage of natural, historic, and
10	cultural resources that—
11	(A) represent distinctive aspects of the her-
12	itage of the United States;
13	(B) are worthy of recognition, conserva-
14	tion, interpretation, and continuing use; and
15	(C) would be best managed—
16	(i) through partnerships among public
17	and private entities; and
18	(ii) by linking diverse and sometimes
19	noncontiguous resources and active com-
20	munities;
21	(2) reflects traditions, customs, beliefs, and
22	folklife that are a valuable part of the story of the
23	United States;
24	(3) provides—

1	(A) outstanding opportunities to conserve
2	natural, historic, cultural, or scenic features;
3	and
4	(B) outstanding recreational and edu-
5	cational opportunities;
6	(4) contains resources that—
7	(A) are important to any identified themes
8	of the study area; and
9	(B) retain a degree of integrity capable of
10	supporting interpretation;
11	(5) includes residents, business interests, non-
12	profit organizations, and State and local govern-
13	ments that—
14	(A) are involved in the planning of the
15	Corridor;
16	(B) have developed a conceptual financial
17	plan that outlines the roles of all participants in
18	the Corridor, including the Federal Govern-
19	ment; and
20	(C) have demonstrated support for the des-
21	ignation of the Corridor;
22	(6) has a potential management entity to work
23	in partnership with the individuals and entities de-
24	scribed in paragraph (5) to develop the Corridor

while encouraging State and local economic activity; 1 2 and 3 (7) has a conceptual boundary map that is supported by the public. 4 SEC. 4. REPORT. Not later than the 3rd fiscal year after the date on 6 which funds are first made available to carry out this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Natural 8 Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate 10 11 a report that describes— (1) the findings of the study; and 12 13 (2) any conclusions and recommendations of the 14 Secretary.

 \bigcirc